
Trapping to Manage Pocket Gophers

ocket gophers (*Thomomys* spp.) are one of the most damaging vertebrate pests in California. Gophers are short, stout burrowing rodents, usually 6 to 8 inches long. They spend most of their time below ground where they use their front legs and large incisors to create extensive burrow systems.

Pocket gophers cause extensive and quite varied forms of damage that includes girdling of stems and vines below ground, consumption of tap roots and aboveground vegetation, and mounding that poses a serious hazard to landscapers, homeowners, and the general public.



R. A. Baldwin, UC IPM

Types and brands of gopher traps include (clockwise from upper right) Victor* Black Box*, Macabee*, Gophinator, and Cinch.

A number of options are available for controlling pocket gophers such as fumigation, toxic baits, and trapping. All three methods have their advantages and could be included in an integrated pest management program for controlling pocket gophers. However, trapping is one of the best methods to use in urban/suburban settings given its high efficacy, minimal risk to children and pets, and lack of potential runoff from gopher-related rodenticides.

Which trap to use?

A number of pocket gopher traps currently are available for purchase including the Macabee*, Gophinator, Cinch, and a variety of box traps. All can be effective in a given setting, although some have proven more effective than others. For example, a recent University of California Cooperative Extension study found that the Gophinator trap outperformed the Macabee® trap due to its ability to capture larger gophers at a higher rate; both traps captured small gophers equally well and required an equivalent amount of time to set.

A similar study conducted by the USDA National Wildlife Research Center compared three traps— Macabee®, Cinch, and Blackhole®, a style of box trap. They found the Cinch trap to be most effective, although it took longer to set and required more excavation for placement. Selection of an appropriate trap will vary depending on the user's needs, but the Gophinator trap appears to provide an effective and quick option for gopher control.

Other factors

There also has been debate about the need to cover hole openings after setting traps. Recent tests have shown little influence of leaving holes uncovered vs. covering them up. If you are able to leave them uncovered, this will save time in setting and checking traps. However, in many cases, trappers



J. K. Clark, UC IPM

will prefer to cover holes to limit access to pets and children. Clearly, in these cases, covering trap-sets is warranted.

There also appears to be little benefit to using many proposed attractants for gopher trapping, as recent tests comparing trap-sets with no attractant to trap-sets baited with peanut butter, anise oil, carrot oil, and a grapefruit-based attractant showed no difference in capture success. This does not preclude potential benefits from other attractants but certainly, at a minimum, indicates that attractants are not needed to effectively capture pocket gophers.

Trapping provides a relatively safe and efficacious approach to pocket gopher control, although it does take practice and patience to become proficient. If you are interested in further details on gopher trapping, check out the UC IPM Pest Note *Pocket Gophers* at http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/PMG/PESTNOTES/pn7433.html.

-Roger A. Baldwin, Wildlife Pest Management Advisor, UC Statewide IPM Program

University of California Statewide IPM Program One Shields Avenue Davis, CA 95616-8621

Phone: (530) 752-8350

E-mail: UCIPMretail@ucdavis.edu

Online: www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/RETAIL

Produced by the University of California Statewide IPM Program with partial funding from the USDA NIFA EIPM Coordination Program. To simplify information, trade names of products have been used. No endorsement of named products is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned.

For more information about managing pests, contact your University of California Cooperative Extension office listed under the county government pages of your phone book, or visit the UC IPM Web site at www.ipm.ucdavis.edu.

The University of California prohibits discrimination against or harassment of any person on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer related or genetic characteristics), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, or status as a covered veteran (special disabled veteran, Vietnam-era veteran, or any other veteran who served on active duty during a war or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized).

University policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable state and federal laws. Inquiries regarding the university's nondiscrimination policies can be directed to the Affirmative Action/Staff Personnel Services Director, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 300 Lakeside Drive, 6th Floor, Oakland CA 94612-3560, or call (510) 987-0096.

WHAT IS IPM? Integrated Pest Management (IPM) programs focus on long-term prevention of pests or their damage through a combination of techniques including resistant plant varieties, biological control, physical or mechanical control, and modification of gardening and home maintenance practices to reduce conditions favorable for pests. Pesticides are part of IPM programs but are used only when needed. Products are selected and applied in a manner that minimizes risks to human health, beneficial and nontarget organisms, and the environment.